The Washington Merry Go-Kound

STATINTL

ing and Moscow Si, Havana No

By Jack Anderson

President Nixon has rejected suggestions that he follow up his trips to Peking and Moscow with an overture to riavana. 🖟

He has no intention of secking better relations with Fidel Castro as long as Cuba exports revolution to other Latin-American countries and Russia is permitted to use Cuban territory for military purposes.

There have been conflicting signals from Havana whether Castro is really interested in improving relations with the United States, Secret messages have been received in Washington suggesting he is eager to restore normal rela-tions. These have been followed, almost invariably, by attacks upon public United States.

Last fall, for example, Castro got word that the United States anight soften its attitude toward Cuba. He hastily, if cautiously, flashed back the signal that he not only was receptive but that he might even be willing to use "traditional democratie procedures" spread "socialist power" in Latin America.

peated in the right places at an equal with Mr. Nixon. Castro's message was rethe United Nations by his diplomatie intelligence representative, Teofilo Acosta Rodri-guez. The word quickly reached the Central Intelligence Agency, which sent a se because he is convinced the cret report, dated Dec. 8, to the White House.

Secret Message

"In the latter part of November, 1971," reported the CIA, "Teofilo Acosta Rodriguez . . . said that Fidel Castro, Cuban prime minister, had received a report before his departure for Chile that U.S. officials were considering a reversal of the U.S. hard-line policy toward Cuba.

"As a result, Havana had requested Cubans at the United Nations to check the report. Meanwhile, Castro had decided to mellow his tone on the United States during his Chilean trip.

some realistic adjustment of place of the "x." differences . . .

There is some theoretical oping native insurrectionists and bind Nixon. anarchists in poor countries.

"Instead, support is growing Nixon's Cuban Policy for the Chilean formula, which maintains that tradi-tional democratic procedures are the best means of socialist power in weak, backward countries,"

As it happened, Castro got his signals crossed. He was wrong about the possibility that the United States might soften its line toward Havana. The blunt truth is that President Nixon isn't the least inwith Castro.

for the U.S. are convinced that ine chance to normalize Cu-ban-American relations. He made that this violates the lutionary groups, even Catho-

are strictly defensive. He tries to appear intractable toward arms, money and guerrilla the United States, they say, United States is intractable to-

"Aeosta commented that ward him. He is particularly training to there is some support in Cuba harsh upon Mr. Nixon, whose movements throughout Latin. for the view that Cuba could name is spelled in the party America. There is evidence benefit from improved cultural ties with the U.S., or newspaper with a swastika in that Russia supports Cuba in

These experts also believe country, are almost solidly be-

A White House aide asline on three factors:

isn't unilateral, but multilat movements. . . . eral. The Organization of be bound by the OAS vote.

would like nothing better, Monroe Docume, moses they say, than to sit down as million a year in military aid, sized that Cuba has not million a year in twice that changed its line but still farmers. Monroe Doctrine, Moseow also lie radical groups.

3. Cuba continues to provide

spreading subversion.

In another secret report to "Later in the conversation, Arr. Nixon has been influtable the White House, for example, Acosta said that Cuban leaders are doing some re-thinking on basic revolutionary tactics. There is some theoretical ontro. The anti-Castro Cubans, try to regain control of Latin position to the 'Che Guevara' who now live and vote in this American revolutionary movements and to develop closer relations with Latin American communist parties and their leaders . . . '

The source quoted a Cuban. sured us, however, that Mr. intelligence officer, Envique Nixon doesn't listen to Nebozo Benavides, as saying "that Goon Cuban policy. The kide said viet Premier Aleksei Kosygin the President based his hard had promised to provide finaneial aid to Castro's efforts to 1. U.S. policy toward Cuba regain control over these

"Benavides said that through American States voted in 1962 Cuba the Soviets will to break diplomatic and com-support armed revolution or mercial ties with Cuba. Until political struggle, whichever terested in an accommodation this is reversed, the U.S. will was deemed appropriate, in given countries throughout 2. Russia uses Cuba as a Latin America. According to for the U.S. are convinced that Castro would jump at a genu-

Castro's slashing attacks not to mention twice that changed its line but still faupon the U.S., they believe, amount in economic aid. where in Latin America."

@ 1972. United Feature Syndicate

Approved For Release 2001/03/04: CIA-RDP80-01601R000300010002-9

Approved For Release 2001/03/04: CIA-RDP80-01601R000 Mennedy Wacho: New Evidence to Dispel the Myth

by Graham Allison

crisis, as told both by fans and crities, ciate the significance of these facts, is a tale of machismo. President John and their implications for the dispute would promise not to invade Cuba. F. Kennedy stood "eyeball-to-eye- about machismo and JFK's perform- On Saturday, this Soviet offer was ball" with Chairman Khruschev, faced ance in the crisis, it is necessary to reversed by a second, much tougher him down, and forced the Soviet reexamine carefully one slice of this letter demanding U.S. withdrawal of Union to withdraw its offensive mis- fascinating story. siles from Cuba. Instant histories writ-

Graham Allison teaches politics at Harvard. nedy's assassination praised the Administration's bold moves in the missile erisis, particularly JFK's guts in weapons in Cuba, an American U-2 Soviet threat? Absolutely not. Dean refusing to compromise American interests, and his courage in sticking to ing missiles into Cuba. Kennedy found the idea outrageous. Having just the original demand that all Soviet assembles the Executive Committee of returned from Paris and Bonn, where pro quo. More recently, revisionists Com) to consider how he should Gaulle and Chancellor Conrad Adenhave criticized Kennedy's reckloseness. have eriticized Kennedy's recklessness respond. On October 22, Kennedy auer about the crisis, Acheson assured and irresponsibility in insisting that announces a U.S. naval quarantine of the ExCom group that such a trade Khruschev capitulate and ery uncle Soviet weapons shipments to Culpa would undermine the faith of the during a confrontation that JFK him- and demands that the Soviets with whole alliance in America's word.

the missile crisis, both those who give on the water, just outside the block- of weakness." According to presidenhim high marks and those who say he ade. But work at the Cuban missile tial assistant Theodore Sorenson's refailed have accepted the official ver-sites proceeds at an accelerated pace, cord of the deliberations, "The Presision of the event as faet. In particular, By Friday, Oetober 26, it seems element dent had no intention of destroying no one (with the exception of Curtis LeMay) has questioned the theme of problem; it prevents the Soviets from JFK's toughness under fire. But dur-problem: it prevents the Soviets from ing the past decade a great deal of importing additional missiles but it evidence has come to light that casts cannot stop the rush to ready 42 serious doubt on this interpretation. Specifically, it now seems clear that ExCom turns to the question of the

last day of the erisis: 1) Soviet sur- sion will probably be made on Saturface-to-air missiles (SAMs) shot down day or Sunday and carried out the an American U-2 reconnaissance plane following Tuesday over Cuba, and Kennedy refused to retaliate; 2) Kennedy ordered U.S. avoided. Sunday morning, October missiles in Turkey defuzed; and 3) 28, Khruschev announces that the JFK sent his brother, Robert Ken- Soviet Union will withdraw the mission of weaker breamen in America and nedy, to Soviet probled For Release 2001/03/04: CIA-RDP80-01601R00030004000219 the security of

ten in the wake of President Ken- JFK's actions in resolving the erisis in Cuba. Recall the background. In the fall of missiles already on the island. The next U.S. step. Most members see no on Saturday, October 27, the next to alternative to an air strike. The deci-

But at the last minute, disaster is

Our Quid, Their Quo

The question is: How did Kenned make Khruschev capitulate? The es sence of the official answer is that h stated his demand clearly, refused to budge even an inch, and thus lef Khruschev with the last elear choice between withdrawal on the one hand and a path that could lead to nuclea war on the other.

The main points in this officia interpretation include the following On Friday, October 26, a secret letter from Khrusehev arrived, proposing a resolution of the erisis on the follow-The story of the Cuban missile to arrange a private "deal." To appre- ing terms: Soviet missiles would be withdrawn and, in return, the U.S. American missiles in Turkey as the The issue in question is that of price for Soviet withdrawal of missiles

To most members of the ExCom, a 1962, after Khruschev has given Keyn deal of this sort was simply out of the nedy repeated assurances that the question. Could the U.S. withdraw Soviet Union will not install offensive NATO missiles from Turkey under photographs the Soviet Union sneak- Acheson, a member of the ExCom, self judged to have a one-in-three draw all strategic offensive missiles Soviet specialist Llewelyn Thompson from the island. The next day, Soviet warned that, "the Russians would In evaluating JFK's performance in ships steaming toward Cuba stop dead eertainly interpret acceptance as proof the alliance by backing down." As JFK had argued the previous week in rejecting UN Ambassador Adlai Stevenson's suggestion of a similar trade-off, he could not make "concessions that eould break up the alliance by confirming European suspicions that we would sacrifice their security to protect our interests in an area of no concern to them." Harold Macmillan (Prime Minister of Britain at the time) has recalled his support for the President's "most difficult decision... the refusal, against the advice